

WILLIAM L. ANTHONY (State Bar No. 106908)
ERIC L. WESENBERG (State Bar No. 139696)
MARK R. WEINSTEIN (State Bar No. 193043)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 614-7400
Facsimile: (650) 614-7401

JOHN W. KEKER (State Bar No. 49092)
MICHAEL H. PAGE (State Bar No. 154913)
KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

Additional Counsel Listed at Signature Block

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

**INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a
Washington corporation,**

Defendant.

Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MJE)

**PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND
PREHEARING STATEMENT
REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN"
HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
COURT'S ORDER ENTERED 2/24/03**

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a
Washington corporation.

Counterclaimant,

1

**INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,**

Counter Claim-Defendant.

1 In accordance with the Court's Order entered February 24, 2003 and Patent Local
2 Rule 4-3, Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant InterTrust Technologies ("InterTrust") and Defendant
3 and Counter-Claimant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") submit the following revised Joint
4 Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement. Pursuant to that Order, the parties have limited
5 their disputes for purposes of the "*Mini-Markman*" proceeding, to 30 disputed terms and phrases,
6 as identified in alphabetical order in Exhibit B and highlighted in copies of the claims in Exhibit
7 H, hereto.

8 **Submission of "Intrinsic" Evidence**

9 To avoid unnecessary duplication, the parties will submit, prior to the submission
10 of the final briefs in the "*Mini-Markman*" proceeding (including briefing addressing
11 indefiniteness), a Joint Declaration presenting the Intrinsic evidence (including patents, file
12 histories and cited references). The parties agree that in briefs submitted in the "*Mini-Markman*"
13 proceeding, a party may cite to evidence that ultimately will be submitted by the parties in such
14 Joint Declaration and need not append such evidence to a declaration in support of a brief. This
15 agreement does not limit either party from submitting any evidence with a declaration
16 accompanying any brief.

17 **RULE 4-3(a): Agreed Construction**

- 18 • Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a list of claim constructions upon which the parties agree.
19 To the extent that agreed constructions refer to disputed terms that are not among the 30
20 terms in the "*Mini-Markman*" proceeding, such terms are set forth in quotations.

21 **RULE 4-3(b): Disputed Claim Construction Presentation**

- 22 • Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a list of disputed claim terms set forth in claim order,
23 together with the parties' proposed constructions.
24 • Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a list of the 30 disputed claim terms in alphabetical order,
25 together with the parties' proposed constructions.
26 • Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is InterTrust's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic
27 evidence supporting its proposed construction for each of the 30 disputed terms and
28 phrases.

- Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is Microsoft’s identification of intrinsic and extrinsic evidence supporting its proposed construction for each of the 30 disputed terms and phrases.
 - Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a Microsoft statement of reservations.
 - Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is the text of the 12 claims at issue, with bolding identifying the terms and phrases in dispute for the purposes of the “*Mini-Markman*” proceeding.

RULE 4-3(c): Claim Construction Hearing Length

The claim construction schedule is set forth in the Court's Order entered February 24, 2003.

RULE 4-3(d): Witness Testimony

The parties have agreed to present witness testimony through declarations filed in support of the briefs. There also shall be tutorial presentations, per the Court's Order of February 24, 2003.

- Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a summary of expert testimony to be presented by InterTrust.
 - Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a summary of expert testimony to be presented by Microsoft.

RULE 4-3(e): Pre-Hearing Conference Issues

The parties addressed pre-hearing matters at the Case Management Conference hearing on February 13, 2003. No pre-hearing conference is currently scheduled or requested.

Dated: March 14, 2003

INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
CORPORATION
MARK SCADINA - #173103
JEFF MCDOW - #184727
4800 Patrick Henry Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95054
Telephone: (408) 855-0100
Facsimile: (408) 855-0144

By:


 FOR JEFF McDOW
Jeff McDow

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
MICHAEL H. PAGE

9
10 DERWIN & SIEGEL
DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407
11 3280 Alpine Road
12 Portola Valley, CA 94028
13 Telephone: (650) 529-8700
14 Facsimile: (650) 529-8799

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant
16 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES
17 CORPORATION
18 WILLIAM L. ANTHONY
19 HEIDI L. KEEFE
20 MARK R. WEINSTEIN
21 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

22 Dated: March 14, 2003

23 By: 
24 Sam O'Rourke

25 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
26 One World Trade Center
27 121 S.W. Salmon, Suite 1600
28 Portland, OR 97204
Telephone: (503) 226-7391
Facsimile: (503) 228-9446

Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation